## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,		) Case Number 8:12MJ87
	Plaintiff,	Cuse Number 6.12M667
	vs.	DETENTION ORDER
MIGUEL MARINO-ADAME, )		
	Defendant.	
A.	Bail Reform Act, the Court orders pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) a  X After the defendant waived a det	ention hearing pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § e Court orders the above-named defendant
B.	The Court orders the defendant's detent  X By a preponderance of the evider conditions will reasonably assure required.  X By clear and convincing evidence	ion because it finds: nce that no condition or combination of the appearance of the defendant as
C.	that which was contained in the Pretrial (a) Nature and circumstances of (b) The crime: Reentry of crime and carries a material (b) The offense is a crime (c) The offense involves a	a removed alien after felony is a serious aximum penalty of 10 years imprisonment. of violence.
	may affect wh	-

## **DETENTION ORDER - Page 2** The defendant has no substantial financial resources. The defendant is not a long time resident of the community. The defendant does not have any significant community Past conduct of the defendant: The defendant has a history relating to drug abuse. The defendant has a history relating to alcohol abuse. The defendant has a significant prior criminal record. The defendant has a prior record of failure to appear at court proceedings. (b) At the time of the current arrest, the defendant was on: Probation Parole Supervised Release Release pending trial, sentence, appeal or completion of sentence. (c) Other Factors: \_\_X\_\_ The defendant is an illegal alien and is subject to deportation. The defendant is a legal alien and will be subject to deportation if convicted. The Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement X (BICE) has placed a detainer with the U.S. Marshal. Other: X (4) The nature and seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's release are as follows: Prior felony drug conviction (2003); Prior deportation (2003); and Active warrant-Stanton County, NE (5) Rebuttable Presumptions In determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also relied on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted: (a) That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community because the Court finds that the crime involves: \_\_\_ (1) A crime of violence; or (2) An offense for which the maximum penalty is life imprisonment or death; or

(3) A controlled substance violation which has a

## **DETENTION ORDER - Page 3**

of gh
gh
ion
3)
ń
ial
/
ty of
nder
ing
g a
ed
or
) s rrc

## D. Additional Directives

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)(2)-(4), the Court directs that:

- The defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal; and
- 2. The defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel; and
- 3. That, on order of a court of the United States, or on request of an attorney for the government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

DATED: April 4, 2012.

BY THE COURT:

s/ F.A. Gossett United States Magistrate Judge